arXiv:1402.5038v1 [math.DG] 20 Feb 2014

Riemannian Geometry on Contact Lie Groups

André Diatta *

Abstract

We investigate contact Lie groups having a left invariant Riemannian or pseudo-Riemannian metric with specific properties such as being bi-invariant, flat, negatively curved, Einstein, etc. We classify some of such contact Lie groups and derive some obstruction results to the existence of left invariant contact structures on Lie groups [1].

1 Introduction-Summary

A contact Lie group is a Lie group G, say of dimension $2n+1$, having a differential 1-form η^+ which is invariant under left translations by the group elements (left invariant, for short) and which satisfies $(d\eta^+)^n \wedge \eta^+ \neq 0$ pointwise over G, where $d\eta^+$ is the de Rham differential of η^+. If $\mathcal{G}$ is the Lie algebra of G and $\eta := \eta^+_\epsilon$ the value of η^+ at the unit ϵ of G, then $(\mathcal{G}, \eta)$ is called a contact Lie algebra.

Such Lie groups may somehow be seen as playing the odd dimensional version of Lie groups admitting a left invariant symplectic structure (symplectic Lie groups). Historically, these latter have been largely studied by many authors, in line with numerous interesting problems in geometry and physics, see e.g. [25], [19], [18], [12], [11],[10], [6], [5], [4]. But although contact topology and geometry are increasingly acquiring a popular interest due to their numerous applications, contact Lie groups have not been so widely explored (see e.g. [9]).

Amongst other results in [9], we gave a method to construct contact Lie algebras of dimension $2n + 1$ with a trivial centre, unlike the ordinary contactization (central extension) which only produces contact Lie algebras with center of dimension 1. We discussed some applications of such a construction. It turns out that the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}_1$ of any exact symplectic Lie group $(G_1, d\alpha^+)$ can be embedded as a codimension 1 subalgebra of many non-isomorphic Lie algebras all of which having a family of contact forms whose restriction (pullback) to $\mathcal{G}_1$ coincide with the

*University of Liverpool. Department of Mathematical Sciences. M&O Building, Peach Street, Liverpool, L69 7ZL, UK. adiatta@liv.ac.uk.

• The author was partially supported by Enterprise Ireland.

• A part of this work was done while the author was supported by the IST Programme of the European Union (IST-2001-35443).

[1] *Mathematics Subject Classification* (2000): 53D10,53D35,53C50,53C25,57R17.

Key words and phrases: Invariant Contact structure, invariant Riemann structure, Lie groups, Einstein solvmanifold.

1-form α. We also gave a full classification of contact Lie algebras of dimension 5 and exhibited an infinite family of non-isomorphic solvable contact Lie algebras of dimension 7.

Here we consider contact Lie groups G which display an additional structure, namely a left invariant Riemannian or pseudo-Riemannian metric with specific properties such as being bi-invariant, flat, negatively curved, Einstein, etc. The reason for considering these additional structures lies, on the one hand, in the interest in (pseudo-) Riemannian geometry. On the other hand, this can also be motivated by the fact that the relationship between the contact and the algebraic structures of Lie groups does not, a priori, show to be strong enough to ensure certain general consequences or to affect certain invariants of Lie groups. So one has to consider specific families of Lie groups. Indeed, the main obstructions to the existence of left invariant contact structures on odd dimensional Lie groups so far known to the author, are the non-degeneracy of their Killing form (Theorem 5 of [3]) and the dimension of their centre (it is readily checked that the centre should have dimension ≤ 1.)

In this paper, using some known results from Riemannian Geometry, we classify contact Lie groups (via their Lie algebras) having some given Riemannian or pseudo-Riemannian structure, give properties and derive some obstructions to the existence of left invariant contact structures on Lie groups. We carry out a comparison with the symplectic case, whenever we find it interesting. Contact Lie groups turn out to exhibit some behaviour different from that of symplectic ones: in presence of some 'nice' (pseudo-) Riemannian structures, contact structures can exist in abundance where under the same assumptions in even dimensions symplectic ones would be rather rare and vice versa (see Remarks 1, 2, 3, 5).

For the present purposes, we only need to use the presence of left invariant contact and some given Riemannian or pseudo-Riemannian structures on the same Lie group. The actual relationship of such structures between one another as in [2], will be discussed in a subsequent paper. Below, we quote some of our main results.

A Riemannian or pseudo-Riemannian structure on a Lie group is said to be bi-invariant if it is invariant under both left and right translations, see [20], [21]. The Killing forms of semi-simple Lie groups are examples of such bi-invariant structures. In Theorem 5 of [3], W.M. Boothby and H.C. Wang proved, by generalizing a result from J.W. Gray [13], that the only semi-simple Lie groups that carry a left invariant contact structure are those which are locally isomorphic to $SL(2)$ or to $SO(3)$. We extend such a result to all Lie groups with bi-invariant Riemannian or pseudo-Riemannian structures.

Theorem 1 *Let G be a Lie group. Suppose (i) G admits a bi-invariant Riemannian or pseudo-Riemannian metric and (ii) G admits a left invariant contact structure. Then G is locally isomorphic to $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ or to $SU(2)$.*

This contrasts with the symplectic case, see Theorem 2 and Remarks 1, 2.

In his main result of [1] (see also [2]), D.E. Blair proved that a flat Riemannian metric in a contact manifold M of dimension ≥ 5, cannot be a contact metric

structure (see Section 2 for the definition). We prove that in the case of contact Lie groups of dimension ≥ 5, there is no flat left invariant Riemannian metric at all, even if such a metric has nothing to do with the given contact structure.

Theorem 3. *Let G be a Lie group of dimension ≥ 5. Suppose G admits a left invariant contact structure. Then, there is no flat left invariant Riemannian metric on G. More precisely, a contact Lie group admits a flat left invariant Riemannian metric if and only if it is locally isomorphic to the group $E(2) := \mathbb{R}^2 \rtimes O(2)$ of rigid motions of the Euclidian 2-space.*

Again, we have a different scenario for symplectic Lie groups, as discussed in Remark 3.

We give a characterisation of contact Lie groups which have a left invariant Riemannian metric of negative sectional curvature (Theorem 4 and Remark 4).

Theorem 5. *Suppose $(\mathcal{H}, \partial\alpha)$ is an exact symplectic solvable Lie algebra that carries a standard Einstein metric. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the orthogonal complement of the derived ideal $[\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}]$, with respect to the Einstein metric. Then for any symmetric derivation $D \in Der(\mathcal{H}) - \{0\}$ commuting with ad_a, for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, the semi-direct product Lie algebra $\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{H} \rtimes \mathbb{R}e$ where $[e, x] = D(x)$, $\forall x \in \mathcal{H}$, is a contact Lie algebra endowed with an Einstein metric.*

Clearly, an Einstein metric as in Theorem 5 does not have a 'nice' geometric behaviour with respect to the contact structure, in the sense that it is not K-contact, as shown below.

Theorem 6. **A.** *If $dim(G) \geq 5$, there is no left invariant contact structure in any of the following cases: (a) G has the property that every left invariant metric has a sectional curvature of constant sign, (b) G is a negatively curved 2-step solvable Lie group, (c) G has a left invariant Riemannian metric with negative sectional curvature, such that the Levi-Civita connection ∇ and the curvature tensor R satisfy $\nabla R = 0$.*
B. *There is no left invariant K-contact structure (in dimension > 3) whose underlying Riemannian metric has a Ricci curvature of constant sign. In particular, there are no K-contact-Einstein, a fortiori no Sasaki-Einstein, left invariant structures on Lie groups of dimension ≥ 5.*

We split the proof of Theorem 6 into different cases discussed in the relevant sections, see Proposition 1, Corollary 2, and Proposition 2.

Most of the results here are valid for complex contact Lie groups. But as the proofs are the same, we only explicitly treat the real case. The results within this paper might also be useful for Riemannian or Sub-Riemannian (and CR) Geometry.

Acknowledgement: The author would like to thank Prof. A. Medina and Dr J. Woolf for very helpful discussions and comments and Prof. A. Agrachev for motivations about Contact Sub-Riemannian Geometry and Vision Models.

2 Some preliminaries and notation

Unless otherwise stated, $<,>$ will always denote the duality pairing between a vector space, say E, and its dual space E^*. If G is a Lie group of dimension $2n+1$ and ϵ its unit, $\mathcal{G}$ will stand for its Lie algebra identified with the tangent space $T_\epsilon G$ to G at ϵ. When there is no ambiguity, the Lie bracket is simply denoted by $[,]$ or by $[,]_\mathcal{G}$ otherwise. For $x \in \mathcal{G}$, we will write x^+ for the left invariant vector field on G with value $x = x^+_\epsilon$ at ϵ. A left invariant differential 1-form η^+ on G is a contact form if the left invariant $(2n+1)$-form $(d\eta^+)^n \wedge \eta^+$ is a volume form on G, where $d\eta^+$ is the de Rham differential of η^+. This is equivalent to $(\partial\eta)^n \wedge \eta$ being a volume form in $\mathcal{G}$, where $\eta := \eta^+_\epsilon$ and $\partial\eta(x,y) := -\eta([x,y])$. We call (G,η^+) (resp. $(\mathcal{G},\eta)$) a contact Lie group (resp. algebra). The Reeb vector field is the unique vector field ξ^+ satisfying $d\eta(\xi^+,x^+) = 0$, $\forall x^+$ and $\eta^+(\xi^+) = 1$. From now on, we will also usually write $\partial\eta^+$ instead of $d\eta^+$. The radical of $\partial\eta$ is the nullspace $Rad(\partial\eta) = \{x \in \mathcal{G},\ \text{such that}\ \partial\eta(x,y) = 0,\ \forall y \in \mathcal{G}\}$. This definition easily generalizes to any linear p-form on $\mathcal{G}$.

A contact metric structure on a contact manifold (M,ν) is given by a Riemannian metric g and a field ϕ of endomorphisms of its tangent bundle such that for all vector fields X, Y,

$$d\nu(X,Y) = g(X,\phi(Y)) \text{ and } g(\phi(X),\phi(Y)) = g(X,Y) - \nu(X)\nu(Y) \qquad (1)$$

(see e.g. [2]). If in addition the Reeb vector field is a Killing vector field (i.e. generates a group of isometries) with respect to g, then (g,ϕ,ν) is termed a K-contact structure on M.

Lemma 1. *(Lemma 5.2.0.1 of [7]). If η is a contact form in a Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$, with Reeb vector ξ, then its kernel $Ker(\eta)$ is not a Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{G}$, whereas the radical $Rad(\partial\eta) = \mathbb{R}\xi$ of $\partial\eta$ is a reductive subalgebra of $\mathcal{G}$.*

A symplectic Lie group (G,ω^+) is a Lie group G together with a left invariant symplectic form ω^+ (See e.g. [4], [5], [6], [18], [19].)
If the symplectic form ω^+ is the differential $\partial\alpha^+ = \omega^+$ of a left invariant differential 1-form α^+, then $(G,\partial\alpha^+)$ (resp. $(\mathcal{G},\partial\alpha)$) is an exact symplectic Lie group (resp. Lie algebra).
Exact symplectic Lie algebras of dimension ≤ 6 are all well known, a list of those in dimension 4 is quoted e.g. in [7].

3 Contact Lie groups with a bi-invariant (pseudo-) Riemannian metric

Our aim in this section is to extend a result on semi-simple contact Lie groups due to Boothby and Wang (Theorem 5 of [3]) to all Lie groups with a bi-invariant Riemannian or pseudo-Riemannian metric. A pseudo-Riemannian metric is a smooth field of bilinear symmetric non-degenerate real-valued forms.

In Theorem 5 of [3], Boothby and Wang showed, by generalising a result from J.W. Gray [13], that the only contact Lie groups that are semi-simple are those locally isomorphic to $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ or to $SU(2)$. Actually, semi-simple Lie groups, with their Killing form, are a small part of the much wider family of Lie groups with a Riemannian or pseudo-Riemannian metric which is bi-invariant, i.e. invariant under both left and right translations. For a connected Lie group, the above property is equivalent to the existence of a symmetric bilinear non-degenerate scalar form b in its Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$, such that the adjoint representation of $\mathcal{G}$ lies in the Lie algebra $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G},b)$ of infinitesimal isometries of b, in other words the following holds true

$$b([x,y],z)+b(y,[x,z])=0, \quad \forall x,y,z\in\mathcal{G}. \tag{2}$$

Such Lie groups and their Lie algebras are called orthogonal (see e.g. [20], [22]). This is, for instance, the case of reductive Lie groups and Lie algebras (e.g. the Lie algebra of all linear maps $\psi : E \to E$ of a finite dimensional vector space E), the so-called oscillator groups with their bi-invariant Lorentzian metrics (see [21]), the cotangent bundle of any Lie group (with its natural Lie group structure) and in general any element of the large and interesting family of the so-called Drinfeld doubles or Manin algebras which appear as one of the key tools for the study of the so-called Poisson-Lie groups and corresponding quantum analogs, Hamiltonian systems (see V.G. Drinfeld [11]), etc. It is then natural to interest ourselves in the existence of left invariant contact structures on such Lie groups. Here is our main result.

As well as classifying contact Lie groups that can bear a bi-invariant Riemannian or pseudo-Riemannian metric, Theorem 1 below also implies that the existence of such metrics is an obstruction to that of left invariant contact structures in dimension > 3.

Theorem 1. *Let G be a Lie group. Suppose (i) G admits a bi-invariant Riemannian or pseudo-Riemannian metric and (ii) G admits a left invariant contact structure. Then G is locally isomorphic to $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ or to $SU(2)$.*

Remark 1. *Unlike the contact Lie groups, in any dimension $2n \geq 4$, there are several non-isomorphic symplectic Lie groups G which also have bi-invariant Riemannian or pseudo-Riemannian metrics. The underlying left invariant symplectic form is related to the bi-invariant metric by a nonsingular derivation of the Lie algebra $Lie(G)$, hence G must be nilpotent.*

As a direct corollary of Theorem 1, we have

Theorem 2. *Suppose a Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ splits as a direct sum $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_1 \oplus \mathcal{G}_2$ of two ideals $\mathcal{G}_1$ and $\mathcal{G}_2$, where $\mathcal{G}_1$ is an orthogonal Lie algebra. Then $\mathcal{G}$ carries a contact form if and only if $\mathcal{G}_1$ is $so(3)$ or $sl(2)$ and $\mathcal{G}_2$ is an exact symplectic Lie algebra.*

Proof. The proof of Theorem 2 is straightforward. A Lie algebra which is a direct sum of two ideals has a contact form if and only if one of the ideals has a contact

form and the other one has an exact symplectic form. From Remark 1, if $\mathcal{G}_1$ had a symplectic form, then it would have been nilpotent, hence the symplectic form could not have possibly been exact, due to the existence of a nontrivial center. So the only possibility is $\mathcal{G}_1$ having a contact form and hence being either $sl(2)$ or $so(3)$, and $\mathcal{G}_2$ having an exact symplectic form. □

Remark 2. *(a) Theorem 2 implies in particular that if a Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ is a direct sum of its Levi (semi-simple) subalgebra $\mathcal{G}_1$ and its radical (maximal solvable ideal) $\mathcal{G}_2$, then $\mathcal{G}$ carries a contact form if and only if its Levi component is 3-dimensional and its radical is an exact symplectic Lie algebra. This is a simple way to construct many non-solvable contact Lie algebras in any dimension $2n+1$, where $n \geq 1$.*
(b) Recall that the situation is different in the symplectic case. A symplectic Lie group whose Lie algebra splits as a direct sum of its Levi subalgebra and its radical, must be solvable as shown in Theorem 10 of [4].

As we need a local isomorphism for the proof of Theorem 1, we can work with Lie algebras.

Our following lemma is central in the proof of Theorem 1.

Lemma 2. *If an orthogonal Lie algebra $(\mathcal{G}, b)$ has a contact form η, then $\mathcal{G}$ equals its derived ideal $\mathcal{G} = [\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}]$. Furthermore, there exists $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{G}$ such that as a vector space $\mathcal{G} = ker(ad_{\bar{x}}) \oplus Im(ad_{\bar{x}})$ and $ker(ad_{\bar{x}})$ is of dimension 1, hence $ker(ad_{\bar{x}}) = \mathbb{R}\bar{x}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a Lie algebra, and b a (possibly non-definite) scalar product on it. For $x \in \mathcal{G}$, denote by $\theta(x)$ the element of $\mathcal{G}^*$ defined by $< \theta(x), y >:= b(x, y)$ for all y in $\mathcal{G}$, where $<,>$ is the duality pairing between $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^*$. Then $(\mathcal{G}, b)$ is an orthogonal Lie algebra if and only if its adjoint and co-adjoint representations are isomorphic via the linear map $\theta : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}^*$ (see [22]). Suppose η is a contact form on $\mathcal{G}$. There exists $\bar{x}$ in $\mathcal{G}$ such that $\theta(\bar{x}) = \eta$. Let us denote by $(\mathbb{R}\bar{x})^{\perp}$ the b-orthogonal of $\mathbb{R}\bar{x}$, that is, $(\mathbb{R}\bar{x})^{\perp} := \{y \in \mathcal{G} \text{ s.t. } b(\bar{x}, y) = 0\}$. Then from the definition of $\bar{x}$, we have $(\mathbb{R}\bar{x})^{\perp} = \ker(\eta)$. The differential of η is

$$\partial\eta(x, y) = - < \eta, [x, y] >= -b(\bar{x}, [x, y]) = -b([\bar{x}, x], y]).$$

The last equality above is due to Equation (2). This implies in particular that the radical (nullspace) $Rad(\partial\eta)$ of $\partial\eta$ equals the kernel $\ker(ad_{\bar{x}})$ of $ad_{\bar{x}}$. As η is a contact form, the vector space underlying $\mathcal{G}$ splits as $\mathcal{G} := Rad(\partial\eta) \oplus \ker(\eta)$ and $dim(Rad(\partial\eta)) = 1$, that is

$$Rad(\partial\eta) = \ker(ad_{\bar{x}}) = \mathbb{R}\bar{x}.$$

It then follows that $\dim(Im(ad_{\bar{x}})) = \dim \mathcal{G} - 1$. Given that $ad_{\bar{x}}$ is an infinitesimal isometry of b, then $Im(ad_{\bar{x}})$ is a subspace of $(\mathbb{R}\bar{x})^{\perp}$ and as $\dim(\mathbb{R}\bar{x})^{\perp} = \dim \mathcal{G} - 1$, we finally conclude that

$$Im(ad_{\bar{x}}) = (\mathbb{R}\bar{x})^{\perp} = \ker(\eta).$$

We have proved that $\mathcal{G} = \ker(ad_{\bar{x}}) \oplus Im(ad_{\bar{x}})$ and $\ker(ad_{\bar{x}}) = \mathbb{R}\bar{x}$.

On the other hand, as $\ker(\eta)$ is not a Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{G}$ (see lemma 1), there exist $x, y \in \ker(\eta)$, such that $[x, y]$ is not in $\ker(\eta)$, and has the form $[x, y] = t\bar{x} + [\bar{x}, x']$ where $t \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$ and $x' \in \mathcal{G}$. But then $\bar{x} = \frac{1}{t}([x, y] - [\bar{x}, x'])$ is in the derived ideal $[\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}]$ of $\mathcal{G}$ and consequently we have $\mathcal{G} = [\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}]$.

□

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{R}$ be the Levi decomposition of $\mathcal{G}$, where $\mathcal{S}$ is the Levi (semi-simple) subalgebra and $\mathcal{R}$ is the maximal solvable ideal of $\mathcal{G}$. The inequality $\dim(\mathcal{S}) \geq 3$ follows from Lemma 2, as the equality $\mathcal{G} = [\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}]$ implies that $\mathcal{S}$ is non-trivial. We are now going to show that $\mathcal{G}$ is semi-simple.

Lemma 3. *[20] A subspace $\mathcal{J}$ of an orthogonal Lie algebra $(\mathcal{G}, b)$, is an ideal of $\mathcal{G}$ if and only if the centraliser $Z_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{J}) := \{x \in \mathcal{G}$, such that $[x, y] = 0, \forall y \in \mathcal{J}\}$ of $\mathcal{J}$ in $\mathcal{G}$, contains the b-orthogonal $\mathcal{J}^{\perp}$ of $\mathcal{J}$.*

Lemma 3 ensures that $Z_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{R})$ contains $\mathcal{R}^{\perp}$ and hence

$$\dim(Z_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{R})) \geq \dim(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}) = \dim(\mathcal{G}) - \dim(\mathcal{R}) = \dim(\mathcal{S}) \geq 3.$$

If the element $\bar{x}$ as in Lemma 2 was in $\mathcal{R}$, then $Z_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbb{R}\bar{x}) = \ker(ad_{\bar{x}})$ would contain $Z_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{R})$ and $\dim(\ker(ad_{\bar{x}})) \geq 3$. This would contradict Lemma 2. Of course $\mathcal{R}$ being an ideal, implies $ad_{\bar{x}}\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{R}$. Suppose now the restriction u of $ad_{\bar{x}}$ to $\mathcal{R}$ is not injective. Thus, there exists $y_o \neq 0$ in the intersection of $\mathcal{R}$ and $\ker(ad_{\bar{x}})$. As $\bar{x}$ is not in $\mathcal{R}$, there exist at least two linearly independent elements $\bar{x}, y_o$ in $\ker(ad_{\bar{x}})$, which again contradicts Lemma 2. So u is injective and

$$\mathcal{R} = u(\mathcal{R}) \subset Im(ad_{\bar{x}}) = (\mathbb{R}\bar{x})^{\perp}.$$

Now the inclusion $\mathcal{R} \subset (\mathbb{R}\bar{x})^{\perp}$ implies $\mathbb{R}\bar{x} \subset \mathcal{R}^{\perp} \subset Z_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{R})$ which mean that $\bar{x}$ commutes with every element of $\mathcal{R}$ and hence this latter is a subset of $\ker(ad_{\bar{x}})$. We conclude that $\mathcal{R}$ is zero, as it is contained in both $Im(ad_{\bar{x}})$ and $\ker(ad_{\bar{x}})$. So $\mathcal{G}$ is semi-simple. But theorem 5 of [3] asserts that the only semi-simple Lie algebras with a contact structure are $sl(2, \mathbb{R})$ and $so(3)$. □

4 Flat Riemannian metrics in Contact Lie Groups

In his main result of [1] (see also [2]), Blair proved that a contact manifold of dimension ≥ 5 does not admit a flat contact metric, ie a metric satisfying the condition (1) whose sectional curvature vanishes. Below, we prove that in the case of contact Lie groups of dimension ≥ 5, there is no flat left invariant metric at all, even if such a metric has nothing to do with the given contact structure.

Theorem 3. *Let G be a Lie group of dimension ≥ 5. Suppose G admits a left invariant contact structure. Then, there is no flat left invariant Riemannian metric on G.*

The following complete classification of contact Lie groups which carry a flat left invariant metric is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.

Corollary 1. *A contact Lie group admits a flat left invariant Riemannian metric if and only if it is locally isomorphic to the group $E(2) := \mathbb{R}^2 \rtimes O(2)$ of rigid motions of the Euclidian 2-space.*

Remark 3. *Unlike contact Lie groups which cannot display flat left invariant metrics in dimension > 3 (Theorem 3), we have again a different scenario for symplectic Lie groups. At each even dimension there are several non-isomorphic symplectic Lie groups with some flat left invariant metric (see Theorem 2 of Lichnerowicz [18], Theorem 2.2 of [5]).*

Proof of Theorem 3. Let G be a connected Lie group of dimension m, with a left invariant Riemannian metric g. We denote again by g the scalar product g_ϵ induced by g on the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ of G. Then g is flat if and only if its Levi-Civita connection ∇ defines a homomorphism $\rho : x \mapsto \rho(x) := \nabla_x$ from the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ of G to the Lie algebra $\mathcal{O}(m)$ consisting of all skew-adjoint linear maps from $\mathcal{G}$ to itself. This allows Milnor (Theorem 1.5 of [23]) to establish that (G, g) is flat if and only if $\mathcal{G}$ splits as a g-orthogonal sum $\mathcal{G} = A_1 \oplus A_2$ of a commutative ideal $A_1 := ker(\rho)$ and a commutative subalgebra A_2 acting on A_1 by skew-adjoint transformations obtained by restricting each $\rho(a)$ to A_1, for all $a \in A_2$. Let ρ stand again for such an action of A_2 on A_1 and ρ^* the corresponding contragradiente action of A_2 on the dual space A_1^* of A_1 by $\rho^*(a)(\alpha) := -\alpha \circ \rho(a)$, for $a \in A_2$ and $\alpha \in A_1^*$. Namely for $x \in \mathcal{A}_1$, $a \in \mathcal{A}_2$, we have

$$\rho(a)x := \nabla_a x = \nabla_a x - \nabla_x a = [a, x].$$

Denote $p_i := dim(A_i)$ the dimension of A_i. From the decomposition $\mathcal{G} = A_1 \oplus A_2$, the dual space $\mathcal{G}^*$ of $\mathcal{G}$ can be viewed as $\mathcal{G}^* = A_2^o \oplus A_1^o$, where A_i^o consists of all linear forms on $\mathcal{G}$, whose restriction to A_i is identically zero. All elements of A_1^o are closed forms on $\mathcal{G}$. Suppose $\eta = \alpha + \alpha'$ is a contact form on $\mathcal{G}$, where α is in $A_2^o \cong A_1^*$ and α' in $A_1^o \cong A_2^*$. Then $\partial\eta = \partial\alpha$ is given for all x, y in A_1 and all a, b in A_2 by $\partial\eta(x, y) = \partial\eta(a, b) = 0$, and

$$\partial\eta(x, a) = - < \alpha, [x, a] > = < \alpha, \rho(a)x > = - < \rho^*(a)\alpha, x > .$$

Let $m = 2n + 1$. If p is the dimension of the orbit of α under the action ρ^*, we can choose linear 1-forms $\alpha_i \in A_2^o$ and $\beta_i \in A_1^o$ so that $\partial\eta$ simply comes to $\partial\eta = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_i \wedge \beta_i$. Due to the property $(\alpha_i \wedge \beta_i)^2 = 0$ for each $i = 1, ..., p$, the $2(p + j)$-form $(\partial\eta)^{p+j}$ is identically zero, if $j \geq 1$. But obviously we have $p \leq min(p_1, p_2)$. Thus as $p_1 + p_2 = 2n + 1$, the non-vanishing condition on $(\partial\eta)^n$ imposes that $n = p$ and either $p_1 = p_2 + 1 = n + 1$ or $p_1 = p_2 - 1 = n$. Hence the dimension of the abelian subalgebra $\rho(A_2)$ of $\mathcal{O}(p_1)$ satisfies $dim(\rho(A_2)) \geq p \geq p_1 - 1$. But the maximal abelian subalgebras of $\mathcal{O}(p_1)$ are conjugate to the

Lie algebra of a maximal torus of the compact Lie group $SO(p_1)$ (real special orthogonal group of degree p_1). It is well known that the dimension of maximal tori in $SO(p_1)$ equals $\frac{p_1}{2}$ if p_1 is even, and $\frac{p_1-1}{2}$ if p_1 is odd. This is incompatible with the inequality $\dim(\rho(A_2)) \geq p_1 - 1$, unless $p_1 = 2$ and $p_2 = 1$, hence $dim(G) = 3$. □

5 Contact Lie Groups with a Riemannian metric of negative curvature

This section is devoted to the study of contact Lie groups (resp. algebras) having a left invariant Riemannian metric of negative sectional curvature. Theorem 4 below, characterises the more general case of solvable contact Lie algebras whose derived ideal has codimension 1. We apply it to the negative sectional curvature, the locally symmetric and in the 2-step solvable cases.

Theorem 4. *[9] (1) If the derived ideal $\mathcal{N} := [\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}]$ of a solvable contact Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ has codimension 1 in $\mathcal{G}$, then the following hold. (a) The center $Z(\mathcal{N})$ of $\mathcal{N}$ has dimension dim$Z(\mathcal{N}) \leq 2$. If moreover dim$Z(\mathcal{N}) = 2$, then there exists $e \in \mathcal{G}$, such that $Z(\mathcal{N})$ is not an eigenspace of ad_e. (b) There is a linear form α on $\mathcal{N}$ with $(\partial\alpha)^{n-1} \wedge \alpha \neq 0$, where $dim(\mathcal{G}) = 2n + 1$.*
(2) If a Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ has a codimension 1 abelian subalgebra, then $\mathcal{G}$ has neither a contact form nor an exact symplectic form if dim$(\mathcal{G}) \geq 4$.

A detailed proof of Theorem 4 is supplied in [9].

Remark 4. *Theorem 4 also characterises contact Lie groups with a left invariant Riemannian metric of negative sectional curvature. The Lie algebras $\mathcal{G}$ of such Lie groups are solvable with a codimension 1 derived ideal $[\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}]$ and there exists $A \in \mathcal{G}$ such that the eigenvalues of the restriction of ad_A to $[\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}]$ have a positive real part. See Theorem 3 of [16].*

Example 1, exhibits some of the typical examples of $\mathcal{N}$ for Theorem 4 (1).

Example 1. *[9] (i) From a nilpotent symplectic Lie algebra $(\mathcal{N}_o, \omega_o)$, perform the central extention $\mathcal{N}_1 = \mathcal{N}_o \times_{\omega_o} \mathbb{R}\xi$ using ω_o, to get a nilpotent contact Lie algebra with center $Z(\mathcal{N}_1) = \mathbb{R}\xi$. Let a 1-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathbb{R}e_1$ act on $\mathcal{N}_1$ by a nilpotent derivation D_1 with $D_1(\xi) = 0$. Denote by $\mathcal{N}$ the semi-direct product*

$\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_1 \rtimes \mathbb{R}e_1$ *so that if* $x \in \mathcal{N}_1$ *then* $[e_1, x] := D_1(x)$ *and* $\mathcal{N}_1$ *is an ideal of* $\mathcal{N}$.

Now we have

$Z(\mathcal{N}) = \mathbb{R}\xi \oplus \mathbb{R}(-\bar{x} + e_1)$ *if* $D_1 = ad_{\bar{x}}$ *for some* $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{N}_1$ *and* $Z(\mathcal{N}) = \mathbb{R}\xi$ *otherwise.*

(ii) Another example is the direct sum $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}' \oplus \mathcal{N}''$ of two nilpotent contact Lie algebras $\mathcal{N}'$ and $\mathcal{N}''$, e.g. two Heisenberg Lie algebras $\mathcal{H}_{2p+1}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{2q+1}$, thus $Z(\mathcal{N}) \subset [\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}]$ and dim$Z(\mathcal{N})$=2.

In (i) of Example 1, if $D_1 = 0$, then $\mathcal{N}$ is the direct sum $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_1 \oplus \mathbb{R}e_1$ of two ideals, namely a nilpotent contact Lie algebra $\mathcal{N}_1$ and the line $\mathbb{R}e_1$. See Example 2 where $\mathcal{N}_1$ is the Heisenberg Lie algebra $\mathcal{H}_{2n+1}$.

Example 2. *Let $\mathbb{R}$ act on the closed connected subgroup*

$$G_n := \{\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & \cdots & x_n & z & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0\cdots & 0 & y_1 & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & & 1 & y_n & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & & 0 & 0 & e^{2\pi i u} \end{pmatrix}, x_i, y_i, z, u \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

of $GL(n+3, \mathbb{C})$ by

$$\rho(t)\sigma = (x_1 e^{p_1 t}, \cdots, x_n e^{p_n t}, y_1 e^{(p-p_1)t}, \cdots, y_n e^{(p-p_n)t}, z e^{pt}, u e^{qt}),$$

where σ is written as $(x_1, \cdots, x_n, y_1, \cdots, y_n, z, u)$ for simplicity and p, p_i, q are constant real numbers. Let us consider the Lie group G which is the semi-direct product

$$G = G_n \rtimes_\rho \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} \times S^1 \times \mathbb{R}.$$

The multiplication in G is as follows. For every $(\sigma, t) := (x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n, z, u, t)$, $(\tau, s) := (x'_1, \dots, x'_n, y'_1, \dots, y'_n, z', u', s)$ in G,

$$(\sigma, t)(\tau, s) = (x_1 + x'_1 e^{p_1 t}, \dots, x_n + x'_n e^{p_n t}, y_1 + y'_1 e^{(p-p_1)t}, \dots, y_n + y'_n e^{(p-p_n)t}, z + z' e^{pt} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y'_i e^{(p-p_i)t}, u + u' e^{qt}, s + t). \quad (3)$$

If $q \neq p$, then G is a contact Lie group. For instance, for every real numbers $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ with $k_1 k_2 \neq 0$, then

$$\eta^+ := k_1 e^{-pt}(dz - \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i dy_i) + k_2 e^{-qt} du \quad (4)$$

is a left invariant contact form on G. In fact adding to η^+ any linear combination (with constant coefficients!) of the left invariants 1-forms $e^{-p_i t} dx_i$, $e^{(p_i - p)t} dy_i$, dt, one gets a new left invariant contact form on G.

If moreover $q > 0, p > p_i > 0$, $\forall i = 1, \dots, n$ then G has a left invariant Riemannian metric of negative sectional curvature.

Indeed, the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ of G is as in Theorem 4, see also Example 1. More precisely, it is a semi-direct product $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{N} \rtimes \mathbb{R}e_{2n+2}$ of a codimension 1 nilpotent ideal $\mathcal{N}$ and a 1-dimensional Lie subalgebra $\mathbb{R}e_{2n+2}$. The ideal $\mathcal{N}$ has a basis $e_1, \dots, e_n, e_{n+1}, \dots, e_{2n}, e_0, e_{2n+1}$ such that the semi-direct product $\mathcal{N} \rtimes \mathbb{R}e_{2n+2}$ is given by an action of $\mathbb{R}e_{2n+2}$ on $\mathcal{N}$ by diagonal matrices of the form

$\lambda diag(p_1, \cdots, p_n, p - p_1, \ldots, p - p_n, p, q)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ itself is a direct sum $\mathcal{N} := \mathcal{H}_{2n+1} \oplus \mathbb{R}e_{2n+1}$ of two ideals, $\mathbb{R}e_{2n+1}$ and the Heisenberg Lie algebra $\mathcal{H}_{2n+1} = span(e_1, \ldots, e_{2n}, e_0)$ with centre $\mathbb{R}e_0$.

Recall that G_1 is the nilpotent Lie group used by E. Abbena to model the Kodaira-Thurston Manifold as a nilmanifold, which is symplectic but not Kählerian. It might be interesting to work out the behaviour of the extentions to G of the Abbena metric and its relationships with the contact stuctures on G.

As a direct consequence of Theorem 4, we have the following.

Corollary 2. *If $dim(G) \geq 5$, then G is not a contact Lie group, in any the following cases.*
1. *G is a negatively curved locally symmetric Lie group, i.e. has a left invariant Riemannian metric with negative sectional curvature, such that the Levi-Civita connection ∇ and the curvature tensor R satisfy $\nabla R = 0$.*
2. *G is a negatively curved 2-step solvable Lie group.*

Proof. (1). From Proposition 3 of [16], there exists a vector e in the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ of G such that $\mathcal{G}$ splits as a direct sum $\mathcal{G} = \mathbb{R}e \oplus \mathcal{A}_1 \oplus \mathcal{A}_2$, where $\mathcal{N} := \mathcal{A}_1 \oplus \mathcal{A}_2$ is a 2-step nilpotent ideal, with derived ideals $[\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}] = \mathcal{N}$ and $[\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}] = \mathcal{A}_2$. It follows that $\mathcal{A}_2 \subset Z(\mathcal{N})$. But again from [16], $dim(\mathcal{A}_2) = 0, 1, 3$, or 7. If $dim(\mathcal{A}_2) = 1$, then $\mathcal{G}$ has even dimension. The case $dim(\mathcal{A}_2) = 0$ corresponds to $\mathcal{N}$ being a codimension 1 abelian ideal, which is ruled out, along with the cases $dim(\mathcal{A}_2) \geq 3$, by Theorem 4. So $\mathcal{G}$ has no contact form. The part (2) also follows from Theorem 4 and Heintze's main result [16], as the derived ideal of the Lie algebra of G must have codimension 1 and is abelian. □

Proposition 1. *If a Lie group G has the property that for every left invariant Riemannian metric, the sectional curvature has a constant sign, then G does not carry any left invariant contact (or exact symplectic) structure. Moreover, such a Lie group is unique, up to a local isomorphism, in any dimension.*

As a byproduct, the uniqueness result must have another interest (independent from Contact Geometry) in the framework of Riemannian Geometry (compare [23], [24]).

Proof of Proposition 1. From theorem 2.5 of Milnor [23] (see also [24]), the Lie bracket $[x, y]$ is always equal to a linear combination of x and y, for all x, y in the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ of such a Lie group. There exists a well defined real-valued linear map l on $\mathcal{G}$ such that $[x, y] = l(y)x - l(x)y$.

Now identifying the kernel of l with $\mathbb{R}^n$ and choosing a vector e_1 satisfying $l(e_1) = 1$, allows us to see that all such Lie algebras are actually isomorphic to the sum $\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}e_1$ of a codimension 1 abelian ideal $\mathbb{R}^n$ and a complementary $\mathbb{R}e_1$, where the restriction of ad_{e_1} to $\mathbb{R}^n$ is opposite the identity mapping $-id_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ and $n + 1 = dim(\mathcal{G})$. So any linear form α on $\mathcal{G}$, has differential $\partial\alpha = -\alpha \wedge l$. Hence we have $\partial\alpha \wedge \alpha = 0$ and $(\partial\alpha)^p = 0, \forall \alpha \in \mathcal{G}^*, \forall p \geq 2$. □

6 Left invariant Einstein metrics on contact Lie groups

As well known, if a connected Lie group G has a left invariant metric with positive Ricci curvature, then it must be compact with finite fundamental group, see e.g. theorem 2.2 of [23]. Thus, Theorem 1 ensures that the only Einstein contact Lie groups with a positive Ricci curvature are those locally isomorphic to $SU(2)$. On the other hand, a contact metric structure in a $(2n+1)$-dimensional manifold, is K-contact if and only if the Ricci curvature on the direction of the Reeb vector field ξ is equal to $2n$ (see [2]). As a direct consequence of this, we have

Proposition 2. *There is no left invariant K-contact structure on Lie groups of dimension > 3 whose underlying Riemannian metric has a Ricci curvature of constant sign. In particular, there is no K-contact-Einstein, and a fortiori no Sasaki-Einstein, left invariant structure on a Lie group of dimension ≥ 5.*

Remark 5. *Nevertheless, there are contact Lie groups with a left invariant Riemannian metric of nonnegative Ricci curvature, this is the case for any $7-$dimensional connected Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathbb{R}^4 \rtimes so(3)$ as in Example 3. However, the situation is different in the symplectic case. Indeed, as a Lie group with a left invariant Riemannian metric of nonnegative Ricci curvature must be unimodular, then from J. Hano (see also [4]) it is solvable if it admits a left invariant symplectic structure. In this case the metric must be flat (see Lichnerowicz [19]).*

Example 3. *Example of the Lie algebra of a contact Lie group with a left invariant Riemannian metric of nonnegative Ricci curvature:* $\mathbb{R}^4 \rtimes so(3)$.

$[e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_2, e_3] = e_1, [e_3, e_1] = e_2,$
$[e_1, e_4] = \frac{1}{2}e_7$, $[e_1, e_5] = \frac{1}{2}e_6$, $[e_1, e_6] = \frac{1}{2}e_5$, $[e_1, e_7] = \frac{1}{2}e_4$,
$[e_2, e_4] = \frac{1}{2}e_5$, $[e_2, e_5] = \frac{1}{2}e_4$, $[e_2, e_6] = \frac{1}{2}e_7$, $[e_2, e_7] = \frac{1}{2}e_6$,
$[e_3, e_4] = \frac{1}{2}e_6$, $[e_3, e_5] = \frac{1}{2}e_7$, $[e_3, e_6] = \frac{1}{2}e_4$, $[e_3, e_7] = \frac{1}{2}e_5$,

with at least 4 independent contact forms $e_4^*, e_5^*, e_6^*, e_7^*$.

Recall that an Einstein metric on a solvable Lie algebra is standard if the orthogonal complement of the derived ideal is an abelian subalgebra (see e.g. [15]).

Theorem 5. *Suppose $(\mathcal{H}, \partial\alpha)$ is an exact symplectic solvable Lie algebra that carries a standard Einstein metric. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the orthogonal complement of the derived ideal $[\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}]$, with respect to the Einstein metric. Then for any symmetric derivation $D \in Der(\mathcal{H}) - \{0\}$ commuting with ad_a, for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, the semi-direct product Lie algebra $\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{H} \rtimes \mathbb{R}e$ where $[e, x] = D(x)$, $\forall x \in \mathcal{H}$, is a contact Lie algebra endowed with an Einstein metric.*

Proof. From Theorem 2 of [9] if $\mathcal{G}$ is a semi-direct product of $(\mathcal{H}, \partial\alpha)$ and a derivation D of $\mathcal{H}$, then $\mathcal{G}$ carries a 1-parameter family of contact structures $(\eta_t)_{t\in T}$ satisfying $i^*\eta_t = \alpha$, where $i : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{G}$ is the natural inclusion and T is an open nonempty subset of $\mathbb{R}$. On the other hand, from a result of Heber in [15], any semi-direct product of a standard Einstein Lie algebra $\mathcal{H}$ by a symmetric non-trivial

derivation commuting with ad_a, for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, is again a standard Einstein Lie algebra.

□

Theorem 5 enables us to construct several examples of contact Lie groups with a left invariant Einstein metric, by using in particular the so called j-algebras, which are a particular family of exact symplectic Lie algebra. They also possess an Einstein metric and play a central role in the study of the homogeneous Kähler Manifolds and in particular homogeneous bounded domains. See e.g. [10], [12], [25].

References

[1] Blair, D. E., *On the non-existence of flat contact metric structures*. Tôhoku Math. J. (2) 28 (1976), no. 3, 373-379.

[2] Blair, D. E., *Contact manifolds in Riemannian geometry*. Lecture Notes in Math. 509. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York (1976).

[3] Boothby, W. M.; Wang, H. C., *On contact manifolds*. Ann. of Math. (2) 68 (1958) 721-734.

[4] Chu, B.-Y., *Symplectic Homogeneous Spaces.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 197. (1974) 145-159.

[5] Dardié, J.-M.; Medina, A., *Algèbres de Lie kählériennes et double extension.* J. Algebra 185 (1996), no. 3, 774-795.

[6] Dardié, J.-M.; Medina, A., *Double extension symplectique d'un groupe de Lie symplectique.* Adv. Math. 117 (1996), no. 2, 208-227.

[7] Diatta, A., *Géométrie de Poisson et de contact des espaces homogènes.* Ph.D. Thesis. University Montpellier 2, France (2000). $http : //www.liv.ac.uk/\tilde{}adiatta/diatta_thesis.pdf$

[8] Diatta, A.; Medina, A., *Classical Yang-Baxter Equation and left invariant Affine Geometry on Lie groups.* Manuscripta Math. 114 (2004), no. 4, 477-486.

[9] Diatta A., *Left Invariant Contact Structures on Lie Groups.* To appear at 'Differential Geometry and its Applications.' See preprint $http : //www.liv.ac.uk/ \sim diatta_contactstructures2_2.pdf$.

[10] Dorfmeister, J.; Nakajima, K., *The fundamental conjecture for homogeneous Kähler manifolds*. Acta Math. 161 (1988), no. 1-2, 23-70.

[11] Drinfeld, V.G., *Hamiltonian structures on Lie groups, Lie bialgebras and the geometric meaning of classical Yang-Baxter equations.* Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 268 (1983), no. 2, 285-287.

[12] Gindikin, S. G.; Pjateckiĭ-Šapiro, I. I. ; Vinberg, E. B., *Homogeneous Kähler manifolds*. Geometry of Homogeneous Bounded Domains (C.I.M.E., 3 Ciclo, Urbino, 1967). Edizioni Cremonese, Rome (1968) 3-87.

[13] Gray, J. W., *A theory of pseudogroups with applications to contact structures*. Thesis, Stanford University (1957), Technical Report ONR.

[14] Gray, J. W., *Some global properties of contact structures*. Ann. of Math. (2) 69 (1959) 421-450.

[15] Heber, J., *Noncompact homogeneous Einstein spaces.* Invent. Math. 133 (1998), no. 2, 279-352.

[16] Heintze, E., *On homogeneous manifolds of negative curvature.* Math. Ann. 211 (1974), 23-34.

[17] D. Iglesias, J. C. Marrero., *Generalized Lie bialgebras and Jacobi structures on Lie groups.* Israel J. Math. 133 (2003), 285-316.

[18] Lichnerowicz, A., *Les groupes kählériens.* Symplectic geometry and mathematical physics (Aix-en-Provence, 1990). Progr. Math., 99, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, (1991) 245-259.

[19] Lichnerowicz, A. and Medina, A., On Lie groups with left-invariant symplectic or Kählerian structures. Lett. Math. Phys. 16 (1988), no. 3, 225-235.

[20] Medina, A.; Revoy, Ph., Algèbres de Lie et produit scalaire invariant. Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4) 18 (1985), no. 3, 553-561.

[21] Medina, A., *Groupes de Lie munis de métriques bi-invariantes*. Tôhoku Math. J. (2) 37 (1985), no. 4, 405-421.

[22] Medina, A. and Revoy, Ph. *Algèbres de Lie orthogonales. Modules orthogonaux.* Comm. Algebra 21 (1993), no. 7, 2295-2315.

[23] Milnor, J., *Curvatures of left invariant metrics on Lie groups.* Adv. Math. 21 (1976), no. 3, 293-329.

[24] Nomizu, K., *Left-invariant Lorentz metrics on Lie groups*. Osaka J. Math. 16 (1979), no. 1, 143-150.

[25] Pyateskii-Shapiro, I. I., *Automorphic functions and the geometry of classical domains*. Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York-London-Paris 1969 .